

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the above amendments and arguments set forth fully below. Claims 1-52 were previously pending in the present application. Within the Office Action, Claims 1-52 have been rejected.

Objection to the Specification

Within the Office Action, the abstract of the disclosure was objected to. Accordingly, the Applicants amend the Abstract to comply with MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Also within the Office Action, Claims 1-7, 25-27, 36-41, 42-43, and 51-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant cancels Claims 1-7, 25-27, 36-41, 42-43, and 51-52 herewith, thereby rendering the Examiner's rejection thereof moot.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Within the Office Action, Claims 1-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as their

invention. Applicant cancels Claims 1-52 herewith, thereby rendering the Examiner's rejection thereof moot.

The Examiner advised the Applicant to re-write the claims. Accordingly, the Applicants add new claims 53-75 herewith.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully posits that the pending claims have been distinguished from the art of record, and that all objections to and rejections of the claims have been overcome. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests allowance. Should the Examiner deem it helpful he is encouraged to contact Applicant's attorney, at (650) 474-8400.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael A. Glenn
Reg. No. 30,176

Customer No. 22862